Print traditions

sources of Australian printmaking
In the mid-1940s, veteran Melbourne etcher Victor Cobb gave a practical text on etching to the painter John Farmer who had lived in Europe in the 1920s, during which time he had studied the British Museum's holding of etchings by Rembrandt van Rijn, one of the greatest masters of the print medium.

When, some years later, Farmer created the etching *Fisherman's Bend*, c. 1960 [CAT. NO. 2], its composition and subject matter drew upon elements common to etchings of pastoral subjects by Rembrandt, in particular the Dutch artist's *Landscape with a cottage and hay barn*, 1641 [CAT. NO. 1], with its low horizon and centrally placed cottage.

Farmer's image, an exquisite glimpse of post-war Melbourne, is typical of the works that are the focus of this exhibition—images by Australian artists, past and present, who have been influenced by the visions and works of earlier European and British master-printmakers. *Print traditions—sources of Australian printmaking* brings together works by Rembrandt van Rijn (1606–1669), Giovanni Battista Piranesi (1720–1778), Thomas Bewick (1753–1828), Charles Meryon (1821–1868), James McNeill Whistler (1834–1903) and Frank Brangwyn (1867–1956), with related images by Australian artists as a means of illustrating the different streams of influence that have contributed to the notably rich tradition of printmaking in Australia.

John Shirlow's *Melbourne from the west*, 1919 [CAT. NO. 5] is one of several early-20th century prints to reference works by Rembrandt, and specifically those with narrow strips of land and buildings insinuated between expanses of sky and water, as in Rembrandt's *View of Amsterdam from the north-west*, c. 1640 [CAT. NO. 3 & 4]. Henri van Raalte and Sydney Ure Smith utilised a similar scheme in several of their representations of the cities of Perth and Sydney. In the etchings of contemporary artist Joel Wolter, the city skyline of Geelong appears filtered through landscape and sky much as Rembrandt depicted towns and cities in his native Holland. In Wolter's *View of St Mary's Cathedral, Geelong*, 2003 [CAT. NO. 6], the church sits starkly in the middle distance between the open sky and the dense mass of vegetation, its silhouetted spire recalling the windmill in Rembrandt's landscape.

Both the technical skill and sensitivity to landscape evinced by British artist, Thomas Bewick, inspired successive generations of British and foreign wood engravers.

The extraordinarily small scale on which Bewick worked (many images were used as vignettes at the beginning and end of book chapters) did not hinder him from achieving great precision of detail and depth of perspective. Bewick was the dominant influence on Australian printmaker Lionel Lindsay when the latter turned to wood engraving in the early-20th century. Such was Lindsay's proficiency with the process that it was his wood engravings, rather than his etchings, that established his reputation among British print connoisseurs and collectors. Parallels between Bewick's *The peacock*, (1797) [CAT. NO. 9] and Lindsay's *Autumn*, 1929 [CAT. NO. 12] are clear, as is Lindsay's debt to the Japanese woodblock tradition.

The link from Bewick to Lindsay extends well into the present as exemplified by contemporary wood engravers such as Rosalind Atkins and Tim Jones, both of whom bring current environmental issues to the fore in works including *Near Smoko*, 2004 [CAT. NO. 14] and *Ginkgo tree, Geelong*, 2003 [CAT. NO. 13]. While the fundamental technique of wood engraving remains largely unchanged from Bewick's time, one notable technical shift has been the substitution of high-impact polystyrene for the woodblock itself—a method employed by Atkins in *Homage to Bewick and his swan*, 2006 [CAT. NO. 15].

Before the Second World War, many city views depicted by Australian printmakers echoed the responses of earlier European and British printmakers to the dramatic growth of modern cities and the impact of industrialisation, whether these captured a sense of excitement at the rate of progress or a sense of nostalgia for the past. Arguably, the best-known prints to depict the growth of Australian cities are those from the
late-1920s and early-1930s by Jessie Traill showing the progress of construction on the Sydney Harbour Bridge. Traill’s interest in such subject matter can be traced, decades earlier, to her studies in London in 1907–08 with Frank Brangwyn. The motif and technique of Traill’s heavily-bitten etchings Scaffolding, London, 1908 cat. no. 19 and The building, 1912 cat. no. 20 have a clear precedent in Brangwyn’s Building the Victoria and Albert Museum, 1904 cat. no. 16, completed only a few years previously.

Brangwyn’s influence was pervasive in both Great Britain and Australia, particularly amongst his contemporaries. Several of his fellow countrymen, including Muirhead Bone, warmed to the scale and intensity of industrial enterprise as a worthy subject for their art, as we see in Bone’s The great gantry, Charing Cross Station, 1906–07 cat. no. 18. And in Australia, Brangwyn’s influence reached as far afield as Broken Hill where Joseph Goodhart, a successful businessman, had taken up printmaking in middle age with the encouragement of Adelaide-based John Goodchild and access to the Art Gallery of South Australia’s print collection. Goodhart’s images of heavy industry, such as Day shift, Property Mine, Broken Hill, 1926 cat. no. 21 had few parallels elsewhere in Australia.

French etcher Charles Meryon’s mid-19th century views of historic Paris—conceived as Baron Haussmann was planning the city’s wholesale transformation—influenced certain Australian printmakers whose works are notable for the nostalgic quality of their imagery featuring ruinous buildings and others under demolition. For Lionel Lindsay, Meryon’s works struck a clear emotional chord. The Australian artist had been scathing in his denunciation of urban development in Sydney, while he waxed lyrical over the city’s colonial heritage.

Lindsay was not alone amongst Australian printmakers in his interest in Meryon’s prints with their brooding evocations of medieval Parisian streets—works that were also an influence on Melbourne artist John Shirlow. The vertical format of Meryon’s The Rue des Chantres (La Rue des Chantres), 1862 cat. no. 22, for example, in which the foreground buildings frame the composition and lead the eye to a church spire in the distance, is repeated in Shirlow’s The slum, Bullen Lane, 1914 cat. no. 23. Similarly, later works by John Goodchild cat. no. 24 and Victor Cobb cat. no. 25, employ this vertical format to create an equivalently elegiac mood with their depictions of the architecture of Adelaide and Melbourne respectively. Dora Wilson was another who looked to Meryon as we see in her c. 1907 etching of a well-known Melbourne landmark cat. no. 27, the Princes Bridge over the Yarra River—a work that adopts a similar vantage point to that of Meryon’s The Pont Neuf (Le Pont-Neuf), 1853 cat. no. 26.

The nostalgic—at times melancholic—mood of Meryon and Brangwyn’s works is evident also in a number of city scenes by Shirlow and Len Annois. Whether in their subject matter, composition or atmospheric sense, various works by the two Australian printmakers are reminiscent of Meryon’s The mortuary (La morgue), 1854 cat. no. 28 and Brangwyn’s Old Hammersmith, 1908 cat. no. 29. Shirlow’s Sailor’s Rest, Hobart, 1933 cat. no. 30, again, depicts a run-down area of Hobart comparable in its shabbiness to that of Meryon’s etching of the riverside mortuary in Paris, while Annois reflects an unease at the demolition of Melbourne’s Bijou Theatre in Bourke Street in his atmospheric lithographs cat. nos 31 & 32.

Meryon’s emphasis on the mood rather than the physical characteristics of his locations is a trait that he shares with the 18th century Roman master-printmaker Giovanni Battista Piranesi. Locally, and more recently, Marco Luccio’s large-format etchings of modern Melbourne invite comparison with the claustrophobic caprices depicted in Piranesi’s series Carceri d’invenzione (Imaginary prisons), 1749–50 & 1761 cat. no. 33, images that Piranesi reworked extensively over many years and whose symbolism, even now, remains essentially mysterious. Oppressive, high-vaulted structures and vast
swags of chain are two elements in Piranesi’s vocabulary that recur in images such as Luccio’s *Cranes and the State Library from the QVB site*, 2003 CAT. NO. 34.

James McNeill Whistler was a further important influence on Australian printmakers of Lindsay’s generation, particularly in respect of his famous views of London and Venice (that were inspired, in turn, by Meryon’s images of Paris). Whistler’s etchings of these old and picturesque cities avoided familiar monuments, inviting viewers instead to contemplate lesser-known byways, architectural features (particularly doorways), ageing timber bridges, and generally unfashionable quarters. Lindsay’s *A La Trobe Street courtyard*, 1914 CAT. NO. 38— together with images by Shirlow CAT. NO. 39 and Cobb CAT. NO. 37—share elements common to Whistler’s *The lime-burner*, 1859 CAT. NO. 36: a courtyard, with its entrance serving as a framing device for a composition in which figures of workers often appear. Several of Whistler’s Venetian and London images in which water is prominent were equally influential. Van Raalte’s *Bridge, Fremantle*, 1917–19 CAT. NO. 41 consciously recalls Whistler’s *Old Battersea Bridge*, 1879 CAT. NO. 40 with the bridge span placed high in the composition, in the manner of a Japanese woodblock print.

As illustrated by the examples discussed above, antique prints have long been a vital source of inspiration to modern artists, a fact aided by the portability and affordability of the medium, and the possibility of its wide circulation. Notwithstanding the ‘educational’ role played by old master prints, for the majority of young Australians overseas travel was prohibitively expensive in the years preceding the Second World War, with only a minority of artists being able to study abroad and directly absorb the influences of European and British printmakers. A handful—primarily women from wealthy families like Jessie Traill—were able to study overseas and returned to Australia to share their knowledge with their artist peers. Overseas journals that frequently reproduced work by contemporary printmakers (such as *The Studio* published in London), as well as specific publications on printmaking—historical and contemporary—were vitally important in keeping Australian printmakers abreast of new developments in the art form. The lithographic reproductions of prints in some books were often of such deceptively good quality that they were occasionally framed and sold (deliberately or mistakenly) as originals.

The acquisition of original prints by major Australian public collections enabled local artists to observe and adopt some of the influences of master-printmakers working abroad. For Shirlow, Cobb and Lindsay, certainly, their catalyst into printmaking was the National Gallery of Victoria’s early acquisition of old master and 19th century prints, including major works by Rembrandt, Meryon and Francis Seymour Haden. Cobb extolled particular works as being exemplary in his lectures and journal articles over the course of almost four decades. According to Cobb, such paradigms of the medium included Rembrandt’s *The three trees*, *The goldweigher’s field* and *The Omval*, several of Whistler’s London and Venetian etchings, and works by other British contemporaries such as Frank Short.

Of course, printmakers themselves could, and did, become collectors. Lionel Lindsay formed a collection of fine impressions of significant works by Albrecht Dürer, Rembrandt and Meryon, largely through his friendship with London dealer Harold Wright. Fortunately, parts of Lindsay’s collection made their way into various public collections, including the National Gallery of Victoria, from which collection a cropped version of Rembrandt’s *View of Amsterdam from the north-west: a fragment*, c. 1640 CAT. NO. 4, is included in this exhibition.

Today, the role played by public galleries through exhibitions and displays of their permanent collections remains an important factor in promoting widespread appreciation of the print medium. *Print traditions—sources of Australian printmaking* is conceived in this spirit and reinforces the Geelong Gallery’s commitment to printmaking in Australia.
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